Dr ZZZ
The Authors have responded to my suggestions by adding the limitations to the study in the acknowledgements section and the response itself is somewhat difficult to understand.

I acknowledge some important points made by reviewer related to prove complex formation by preparing the physical mixture after treating each component (Drug, CyD) separately with the same spray-dried procedure, also to run the dissolution study using this physical mixture, and finally the gel formulations in the present work can be prepared by using non-treated components (Drug and CyD) and compared by the obtained results of gel containing the spray dried complex.

The original points made are:

1. Again, to prove complex formation and suitability of the analysis techniques (DSC, IR, and SEM), the author needs to prepare the physical mixture after treating each component (Drug, CD) separately with the same spray-dried procedure.

2.The author needs to run also the dissolution using physical mixture prepared from spray-dried drug and CD.

3.Again, gel formulations in the present work can be done by simple procedure without using spray drying any more. So I recommend to prepare the same formulas (FM1, FM2, FM3 and FM4) using non-treated components (Drug and CD).

Perhaps the Authors could place the paragraph in the discussion section and word it more like:

We acknowledge several limitations to our study. Ideally, each component should be separately treated with the spray-dry technique and assessed with DSC, IR and SEM to confirm complex formation and suitability of the analysis techniques. ideally, the dissolution process should also be performed using physical mixture prepared from spray-dried drug and CD. As it is posible that gel formulations in the present work can be achieved by another procedure without using spray drying, it would be best to also prepare the same formulas (FM1, FM2, FM3 and FM4) using non-treated components (Drug and CD) and then compare with the current results.

Thanks for your e-mail, I included the paragraph at end of discussion page 19